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## What is Disproportionate impact?

- Disproportionate impact occurs when "the percentage of persons from a particular racial, ethnic, gender, age or disability group who are directed to a particular service or placement based on an assessment instrument, method, or procedure is significantly different from the representation of that group in the population of persons being assessed, and that discrepancy is not justified by empirical evidence demonstrating that the assessment instrument, method or procedure is a valid and reliable predictor of performance in the relevant educational setting." [Title 5 Section 55502(d)]


## Which metrics will be evaluated?

1. Enrolled in the Same Community College
2. Retained from Fall to Spring
3. Completed Transfer Level Math and English
4. Transferred to a Four-Year Institution
5. Attained the Vision Goal Completion Definition

## Which groups will we measure?

1. Race/Ethnicity
2. Disabled Students
3. Economically Disadvantaged
4. First Generation
5. Foster Youth
6. Veterans
7. LGBT

Further disaggregating by Gender
*Age - not delivered in Student Equity data from the State

## How do we measure? <br> Percentage Point Gap (PPG)

- The formula compares the success rate of a particular outcome for a disaggregated subgroup to the success rate of all students.
- The formula subtracts the overall percentage from the percentage of the disaggregated subgroup
PPG = subgroup percentage - overall percentage
- If PPG for any subgroup is 3 percent points or less then this suggests DI exists

Example:
If the overall persistence rate for all students is $51 \%$
and the persistence rate for all LatinX students is $47 \%$

$$
\text { PPG }=0.47-0.51=-0.04
$$

## How do we measure? <br> Proportionality Index (PI)

- The formula compares the percentage of a disaggregated subgroup in a cohort to its own percentage in the outcome group.
- The formula for proportionality


## Sub-group outcome percentage/Overall cohort percentage

- A proportionality index of 1.00 means that the subgroup is represented in the outcome group in the same proportion as it is in the total cohort.
- Values less than 0.85 means that their proportion share in the outcome group is smaller than the total cohort suggesting disproportionate impact exists.

Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If the Transfer Rate for } 1^{\text {st }} \text { Time Transfer Seeking Students is } 34 \% \\
& \text { and the Transfer Rate for LatinX students is } 10 \% \text { then } \\
& \qquad \text { PI }=0.10 / 0.34=0.29
\end{aligned}
$$

## Enrolled in the Same Community College

Excluding high school students, the proportion of all CCC Apply applicants in 2017-18 who selected COA and successfully enrolled.

| No. of students that enrolled |  | No. of CCC Apply applicants | \% who enrolled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (numerator) | $\bullet$ | (denominator) | (overall percent) |
| 3,744 | - | 11,471 | 33\% |

The table below reflects the subgroups who have been determined to be disproportionately impacted using the percentage point gap method in 2017-18.
Subgroups with a percentage point gap of $3 \%$ or more suggest a disproportionate impact exists.

| Female Students | Numerator | Denominator | Subgroup Percentage | Overall Percentage | Percentage Point Gap <br> (subgroup \% minus overall \%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Black or African American | 397 | 1364 | 29\% | 33\% | -4\% |
| Disabled | 89 | 342 | 26\% | 33\% | -7\% |
| LGBT | 103 | 351 | 29\% | 33\% | -4\% |
| Male Students | Numerator | Denominator | Subgroup Percentage | Overall Percentage | Percentage Point Gap <br> (subgroup \% minus overall \%) |
| Black or African American | 309 | 1023 | 30\% | 33\% | -3\% |
| White | 258 | 898 | 29\% | 33\% | -4\% |

## Retained from Fall to Spring at the Same College

Excluding high school students and those whose completed an award or transferred, the proportion of all students retained from fall to spring in 2017-18.


The table below reflects the subgroups who have been determined to be disproportionately impacted using the percentage point gap method in 2017-18. Subgroups with a percentage point gap of $3 \%$ or more suggest a disproportionate impact exists.

| Female Students | Numerator | Denominator |  | Subgroup Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\quad$| Overall Percentage |
| :---: |

## Completed Both Transfer - Level Math and English

Excluding high school students, the proportion of all students who completed transfer-level Math and English in their first academic year of credit enrollment within PCCD.

| No. of $1^{\text {st }}$ time students that successfully completed Transfer Math and English in $1^{\text {st }}$ year <br> (numerator) $95$ |  | No. of $1^{\text {st }}$ time students who enrolled in a credit course within PCCD (denominator)$994$ |  | \% of students who successfully completed <br> Transfer Math and English <br> (overall percent) <br> 9\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The table below reflects the subgroups who have been determined to be disproportionately impacted using the percentage pointgap method in 2017-18. Subgroups with a percentage point gap of $3 \%$ or more suggest a disproportionate impact exists. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female Students | Numerator | Denominator | Subgroup Percentage | Overall Percentage | Percentage Point Gap <br> (subgroup \% minus overall \%) |
| Foster Youth | 0 | 15 | 0\% | 9\% | -9\% |
| Male Students | Numerator | Denominator | Subgroup Percentage | Overall Percentage | Percentage Point Gap <br> (subgroup \% minus overall \%) |
| Black or African American | 2 | 71 | 3\% | 9\% | -7\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 8 | 120 | 7\% | 9\% | -3\% |
| Some other race | 0 | 5 | 0\% | 9\% | -9\% |
| Disabled | 0 | 21 | 0\% | 9\% | -9\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 24 | 330 | 7\% | 9\% | -2\% |
| Foster Youth | 0 | 18 | 0\% | 9\% | -9\% |
| LGBT | 0 | 15 | 0\% | 9\% | -9\% |

## Transferred to Four Year Institution

Using 2016-17 data and excluding high school students, the number of students who transferred to various types of postsecondary institutions.

| Unduplicated credit headcount | (denominator) | $\frac{\% \text { who transferred }}{\text { (overall percent) }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8,218 | $11 \%$ |  |

The table below reflects the subgroups who have been determined to be disproportionately impacted using the proportionality index method.
Subgroups with a proportionality index of 0.85 or less suggest a disproportionate impact exists.

| Female Students | Numerator | Denominator | Subgroup Percentage | Overall Percentage | Proportionality Index <br> (subgroup \% divided by overall \%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 3 | 0\% | 11\% | 0.00 |
| Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander | 2 | 31 | 6\% | 11\% | 0.59 |
| Some other race | 13 | 235 | 6\% | 11\% | 0.50 |
| Disabled | 33 | 432 | 8\% | 11\% | 0.69 |
| Male Students | Numerator | Denominator | Subgroup Percentage | Overall Percentage | Proportionality Index <br> (subgroup \% divided by overall \%) |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 4 | 0\% | 11\% | 0.00 |
| Black or African American | 54 | 678 | 8\% | 11\% | 0.72 |
| Filipino | 11 | 143 | 8\% | 11\% | 0.70 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 59 | 675 | 9\% | 11\% | 0.79 |
| Disabled | 22 | 302 | 7\% | 11\% | 0.66 |
| First Generation | 91 | 1043 | 9\% | 11\% | 0.79 |
| Foster Youth | 6 | 94 | 6\% | 11\% | 0.58 |

## Attained the Vision Goal Completion Definition

Excluding high school students, the number of students met one of the following: earned an AA, AS, AAT, and or AST; earned a Chancellor's office approved credit certificate, earned a noncredit certificate, attained apprenticeship journey status, or transferred to postsecondary coursework in 2017-18.


The table below reflects the subgroups who have been determined to be disproportionately impacted using the proportionality index method. Subgroups with a proportionality index of 0.85 or less suggest a dis proportionate impact exists.

|  |  |  |  |  | Proportionality Index |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female Students | Numerator | Denominator | Subgroup Percentage | Overall Percentage | (subgroup \% divided by overall \%) |
| Asian | 40 | 2436 | 2\% | 2\% | 0.82 |
| Black or African American | 26 | 1880 | 1\% | 2\% | 0.69 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 31 | 1903 | 2\% | 2\% | 0.81 |
| More than one race | 5 | 347 | 1\% | 2\% | 0.72 |
| Foster Youth | 2 | 246 | 1\% | 2\% | 0.41 |
| LGBT | 2 | 404 | 0\% | 2\% | 0.25 |
| Veterans | 144 | 8573 | 2\% | 2\% | 0.84 |
| Male Students | Numerator | Denominator | Subgroup Percentage | Overall Percentage | Proportionality Index <br> (subgroup \% divided by overall \%) |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 | 4 | 0\% | 2\% | 0.00 |
| LGBT | 2 | 194 | 1\% | 2\% | 0.52 |

